Huiyi Z, Yang C, Hongqin Z, Yanyu L, Jie L, Jin L (2012) Comparison with pressure swing adsorption and membrane separation nitrogen for reduce oxygen at stored grain in horizontal warehouse. In: Navarro S, Banks HJ, Jayas DS, Bell CH, Noyes RT, Ferizli AG, Emekci M, Isikber AA, Alagusundaram K, [Eds.] Proc 9th. Int. Conf. on Controlled Atmosphere and Fumigation in Stored Products, Antalya, Turkey. 15 – 19 October 2012, ARBER Professional Congress Services, Turkey pp: 509-516

# COMPARISON WITH PRESSURE SWING ADSORPTION AND MEMBRANE SEPARATION NITROGEN FOR REDUCE OXYGEN AT STORED GRAIN IN HORIZONTAL WAREHOUSE

Zhao Huiyi, Cao Yang\*, Zhang Hongqin, Li Yanyu, Liu Jie, Li Jin,

Academy of State Administration of Grain, Beijing, 100037 №11 Baiwanzhuang Street, Beijng, P.R. China Tel : 86-10-68623665 Fax : 86-10-58523599 \* Corresponding author's e-mail: *cy@chinagrain.org* 

#### ABSTRACT

In the 180t capacity of test horizontal warehouse, the research was made for comparison with pressure swing adsorption(PSA) and membrane separation(MS) nitrogen for reduce oxygen. During the research we had detected gas tightness of warehouse, full nitrogen flux and time, energy consumption, and oxygen decrease. The research results indicated that using facilities PSA reduced oxygen in grain mass with flux 45 Nm3/h and 49 Nm3/h, from 20.7% in normal atmosphere fall 15%, 10%, 5%和 2%, the average energy consumption were 55and 37.6 kWh, 83 and 71 kWh, 139 and 105kWh, 189 and 160 kWh respectively. That were 61and 42 kWh,102 and 85 kWh, 124 and 152kWh, 193 and 195 kWh using facilities MS with flux 15.8 Nm3/h and 18.1 Nm3/h. And the average energy consumption of MS was higher than that of PSA. With higher flux it cost fewer energy but usage of nitrogen is lower. The paper had introduced the models and regulation for reducing oxygen in stored grain, also.

**Key words**: Stored Grain, Reduce oxygen, Pressure Swing Adsorption, Membrane Separation Nitrogen, Energy consumption.

#### INTRODUCTION

As the resistance of pests becomes stronger, reduced chemical application is the important task for grain storage (Benhalimaa et al. 2004, Bruce et al. 1962). The research showed that under lower oxygen environment, the physiological activities of pests could be inhibited. Below 2% of oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) concentration for 20 days, the grain pests, such as *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky and *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst, could be killed. Below 5%, the growth and development of pests could be inhibited. So low O<sub>2</sub> technology has been adapted to inhibit and kill grain pests without chemicals (Navarro 1978, Gilberg 1991).

For low  $O_2$  process, high concentration carbon dioxide or nitrogen will be used to reduce the concentration of  $O_2$  in barn (Valentin 1993). But comparing these two kinds of media, using

nitrogen should be more cheap and handy. In principle of making nitrogen, there are two kinds of equipment: pressure swing adsorption (PSA) and membrane separation (MS).

In this paper, PSA and MS were used for low  $O_2$  process. And  $O_2$  reduction time and consumption was used to compare these two kinds of equipment. At the same time the low  $O_2$  model was also discussed.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Test Horizontal Warehouse**

The test horizontal warehouse is 180t capacity pilot-scale horizontal warehouse located in pilot-scale test base of Academy of State Administration of Grain. And its length is 9 meters, width 4.5 meters and grain loaded height 6 meters.

### Nitrogen produced equipment

PSA equipment: max flux 50.35Nm<sup>3</sup>/h and max O<sub>2</sub> concentration 99%(v/v%). MS equipment: max flux 35Nm<sup>3</sup>/h and max O<sub>2</sub> concentration 99%(v/v%).

### Method of detection O<sub>2</sub> concentration

 $O_2$  sensors were used to detect  $O_2$  concentration. The  $O_2$  sensors were distributed in three layers and six sensors in each layer. The distance form the first layer (bottom layer) to the bottom is 3m, the second layer (middle layer) 5m and third layer (top layer) is on the surface of the grain.

#### Method of power measurement

A power meter was installed on the input circuit of the making nitrogen equipment. And the power was record during the experiments.

### **Experiment method**

Before the experiment, the nitrogen produced equipment was connected with the ventilation pipe using flexible pipe. The input nitrogen concentration was kept at 99% level. When nitrogen was input the barn, the power and the  $O_2$  concentration for different layer was record. The equipment will be stopped until the average  $O_2$  concentration of top layer is under 2%.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Low O<sub>2</sub> process using PSA

Two different flux have been made to test the performance of PSA. The results showed in Fig. 1 (with flux  $45Nm^3/h$ ) and Fig.2 (with flux  $49Nm^3/h$ ).



Fig. 1- The change curve of average  $O_2$  concentration in different layer with time using PSA (flux  $45 Nm^3/h$ ).



Fig. 2- The change curve of average  $O_2$  concentration in different layer with time using PSA (flux 49Nm<sup>3</sup>/h).

As showed in Fig.1, Compared the different layers, the reduce rate of average  $O_2$  concentration is depend on the distance of detection location. More close to the nitrogen inlet, it is faster for  $O_2$  concentration to reach 2%. It spent 7.5 hours for  $O_2$  to reduce to 2% for bottom layer and 13 hours for top layer. The same results are also showed in Fig.2 and the time is 3 hours and 9.5 hours. Compared Fig.1 with Fig. 2, the time to reach 2% is shorter with flux 49Nm<sup>3</sup>/h than that with flux 45Nm<sup>3</sup>/h.

The power consumption with different flux is shown in Fig. 3 and Table 1. The total energy consumption is 189kWh for flux 45Nm<sup>3</sup>/h and 160kWh for flux 49Nm<sup>3</sup>/h. That means it consume less energy with higher flux. The energy consumption per hour and is higher with higher flux also, but energy consumption per concentration decreased is lower. The calculate data is shown in table1. As it discussed above, it is compatible to using PSA with higher flux.



Fig. 3- The energy consumption curve using PSA with different flux.

# Low O<sub>2</sub> process using MS

Two different flux have been made to test the performance of MS. The results showed in Fig. 4 (with flux 15.8Nm<sup>3</sup>/h) and Fig. 5 (with flux 18.1Nm<sup>3</sup>/h).

Table 1. The energy consumption using PSA with different flux							
	O <sub>2</sub> average	Time (h)	Energy consumption (kWh)	Energy	Energy		
Flux (Nm <sup>3</sup> /h)	concentration			consumption	consumption per		
	of top layer			per	concentration		
	(v/v%)			hour(kWh/h)	decreased		
	15	3	55	18.3	9.5		
45	10	5	83	16.6	7.7		
43	5	8.5	139	16.3	8.8		
	2	13	189	14.5	10.1		
	15	2.2	37.6	18.0	6.5		
40	10	4	71	17.8	6.6		
49	5	6	105	17.5	6.6		
	2	9.5	160	16.8	8.5		



Fig. 4- The change curve of average  $O_2$  concentration in different layer with time using MS (flux 15.8Nm<sup>3</sup>/h).



Fig. 5- The change curve of average O<sub>2</sub> concentration in different layer with time using MS (flux 18.1Nm<sup>3</sup>/h).

As using PSA, the reduce rate of average  $O_2$  concentration is depend on the distance of detection location when using MS. More close to the nitrogen inlet, it is faster for O2 concentration to reach 2%. It spent 5.5 hours for  $O_2$  to reduce to 2% for bottom layer and 7.5 hours for top layer with flux 15.8Nm<sup>3</sup>/h. The same results are also showed in fig.5 and the time is 4.2 hours and 14 hours. Different with using PSA, the time to reach 2% is shorter with lower flux when using MS. The reason for this difference may be due to the different flux. Using PSA,

the flux is three times of using MS. When using PSA, the nitrogen flux cannot be used to replace  $O_2$  component efficiently and more nitrogen has been vent to the air. The power consumption with different flux is also shown in Fig. 6 and Table 2.



Fig. 6- The energy consumption curve using MS with different flux.

The total energy consumption is 193kW for flux 15.8Nm<sup>3</sup>/h and 195kW for flux 18.1Nm<sup>3</sup>/h. that means it consume almost the same energy with higher flux. The energy consumption per hour is lower with higher flux but energy consumption per concentration decreased is lower. The calculate data is shown in Table 2. As discussed above, it is compatible to using MS with higher flux also.

Flux (Nm <sup>3</sup> /h)	O <sub>2</sub> average concentration of top layer (v/v%)	Time (h)	energy consumption(kWh)	energy consumption per hour(kWh/h)	energy consumption per concentration decreased
	15	3.2	61	19.1	10.5
15 0	10	5.4	102	18.9	9.4
13.8	5	7	124	17.7	7.8
	2	7.5	193	25.7	10.2
	15	3	42	14.0	7.2
18.1	10	6	85	14.2	7.8
	5	11	152	13.8	9.6
	2	14	195	13.9	10.2

Table 2. The energy consumption using MS with different flux

Compared with PSA, it cost more energy with MS. This is because the rate of work using MS is higher than using PSA.

According to the flux individually, the total nitrogen volume input into the bam is calculated in Table 3.

	Table 3. Total nitrogen volume input into the bam with different flux					
	Flux(Nm <sup>3</sup> /h)	Total nitrogen volume(m <sup>3</sup> )				
j	15.8	118.5				
	18.1	253.4				
	45	585.0				
	49	465.5				

From Table 3, it is very clear that with high flux a large amount of nitrogen has been released to the air without used although it is quickly to reach the final. If considering the efficiency and usage of nitrogen, the low  $O_2$  technology must be changed.

#### Low O<sub>2</sub> models

The low  $O_2$  model is made to describe the average  $O_2$  concentration changes with time. According to the three layers, total average  $O_2$  concentration ( $C_w$ ) has been made to be dependent variable as shown in expression 1.

$$C_w = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i}{n} \quad (1)$$

 $C_w$ : total average  $O_2$  concentration (v/v%)

Ci: O2 concentration of each detection sensor

i: the detection number

n:total detection number

The model function is adapted to simulated low  $O_2$  process as shown in expression 2.

$$C_w = A_2 + (A_1 - A_2) / [1 + (t/t_0)^{A_3}] \quad (2)$$

 $C_w$ : total average  $O_2$  concentration (v/v%)

t: time (h)

A<sub>1</sub>,A<sub>2</sub>,A<sub>3</sub>,t<sub>0</sub>: model coefficient

The model coefficients are shown in table 3.

From table 3, the correlation coefficient (R) and residual sum of squares (RSS) can be made to prove it is a suitable to describe the low oxygen process using the model as expression 2. And the comparison between calculated data and test data is shown in Fig. 7.



Fig.7- Comparison between calculated data and test data

#### CONCLUSION

- It is suitable using nitrogen produced equipment with high flux, it is cost fewer energy and energy consumption per concentration decreased.
- Using PSA has more advantage than using MS if the equipment running individually.
- Using single equipment, the usage of nitrogen is very lower. The low O<sub>2</sub> technology must be changed.
- The model can be used to describe the process of low O<sub>2</sub>.

$$C_w = A_2 + (A_1 - A_2)/[1 + (t/t_0)^{A_3}]$$

#### REFERENCES

- Benhalimaa H, Chaudhryb MQ, Millsb KA, Priceb NR (2004) Phosphine resistance in stored-product insects collected from various grain storage facilities in Morocco. Journal of Stored Products Research. 40(3), pp 241–249.
- Bruce RB, Robbins AJ, Tuft TO (1962) Phosphine residues from Phostoxin treated grain. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 10, pp 18–21.
- Navarro S (1978) The effects of low oxygen tensions on three stored-product insect pests. Phytoparasitica. Volume 6, Number 2, pp 51-58.
- Gilberg M (1991) The effects of low oxygen atmospheres on museum pests. Studies in Conservation. 36, (2) (May, 1991), pp 93-98.
- Nieves Valentin (1993) Comparative analysis of insect control by nitrogen, argon and carbon dioxide in museum, archive and herbarium collections. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation, 32(4), pp 263–278.